

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/592,393	06/13/2000	William Keith Chandler	CNA-018-DIV	7087
75	590 03/12/2002			
Ciena Corporation			EXAMINER	
Legal Department 1201 Winterson Road			HENRY, JON W	
Linthicum, MD	21090		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2872	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
٥	•	09/592,393	CHANDLER ET AL.		
, ,	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jon W. Henry	2872		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHO THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failul - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14.	January 2002 .			
2a)	This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	nis action is non-final.			
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-6,8,9,11 and 13-15</u> is/are pending	in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 2-6.8,9,11 and 13-15 are subject to re	estriction and/or election requirem	nent.		
Applicati	on Papers				
9) 🗌 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.			
10) 🔲 🛚	rhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11) 🔲 🏾	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	ved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.			
12) 🔲 🏾	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu ee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	,		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•			
	☐ The translation of the foreign language procedures the comment is made of a claim for domest				
Attachment		- -			
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 7		

Application/Control Number: 09/592,393

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claim 3, drawn to an optical module with (M) clamped to a vertical pillar of a carrier with vertical pillars claimed broadly (Cbr), classified in class 359, subclass 896.
 - II. Claims 4-6, 8 and 9, drawn to a carrier claimed specifically (Csp) and with vertical pillars, classified in class 269, subclass 56.
 - III. Claims 11, 13, and 15, drawn to inspection apparatuses including inspection stations (I) with a carrier claimed so broadly that it does not even include vertical pillars, classified in class 364, subclass 478.16.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claimed combination may function to carry an optical module without any of the specific features of the subcombination. The subcombination has separate utility such as in carrying many other devices than an optical module, even though it is "intended" for carrying an optical module.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/592,393

Art Unit: 2872

- 3. Inventions III and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because it does not even require that the carrier have pillars. The subcombination has separate utility such as for carrying any devices to different locations for use, without requiring, or even needing, inspection stations.
- 4. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, an optical module may be carried to different places for use without requiring, or even needing, inspection stations and the inspection apparatus may be used to inspect other than optical modules, even though it is "intended" for inspecting an optical module. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Claim 2 link(s) inventions I and II, but not invention III. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claim 2. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional

Application/Control Number: 09/592,393

Art Unit: 2872

statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- A telephone call was not made to applicants' representative to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement because of the complexity (three-way) of the restriction requirement.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. It is noted that claim 14 has not been grouped because it depends from canceled claim 10. Correction is required.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jon W. Henry whose telephone number is (703) 305-6106. If

Application/Control Number: 09/592,393

Art Unit: 2872

attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou, can be reached on (703) 308-1687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0530.

jwh March 10, 2002 Jon Henry

dimary Examinst